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ABSTRACT

Epiphytic orchids have received considerable study, yet little has been published on their germination requirements in situ involving mycorrhizal fungi. Such research has been hampered by the small, dust-like size of seeds and leafless seedlings (protocorms) which are difficult to pinpoint on natural substrates, especially those on arboreal substrates (tree limbs). We report a novel seed sowing and retrieval method, modified from one applied to terrestrial orchids, used in the acquisition of epiphytic orchid protocorms from the Florida Panther National Wildlife Refuge. Seeds from two epiphytic orchid species (Epidendrum amphistomum A. Richard, E. nocturnum Jacquin) were placed in separate nylon mesh packets secured within 35 mm plastic slide mounts, and affixed to tree bark using gutter mesh and a staple gun. To confirm that the embryos were viable, some seeds were also sown on asymbiotic media in the laboratory which subsequently germinated after 52 days incubation. Of 60 packets distributed among 18 tree limb sites, one packet – harboring seeds of E. amphistomum affixed to poplar (Fraxinus caroliniana Mill.) on a moss substrate – harbored protocorms after 267 days. Using molecular markers, a fungus assignable to the Ceratobasidiaceae, appears to be the mycorrhizal associate of these protocorms suggesting that this fungus may be associated with the germination process in situ.
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Introduction

Orchids have received considerable study especially their taxonomy, pollination mechanisms, and cultivation, but relatively little has been published on their germination requirements in situ involving mycorrhizal fungi. Although terrestrial orchids have received the most attention in this regard (e.g., Rasmussen 1995; Brundrett et al. 2003; Batty et al. 2006), tropical epiphytic taxa – which comprise ca. 73% of the 17,000–35,000 species worldwide (Atwood 1986; Dressler 1993) – remain understudied, especially with respect to their obligate mycorrhizal relationships (Otero et al. 2007). Recently, a growing number of studies aimed at identifying mycorrhizal associates of epiphytic orchids have surfaced worldwide facilitated by DNA and TEM methods (e.g., Ma et al. 2003; Martos et al. 2009; Roy et al. 2009; Herrera et al. 2010; Kottke et al. 2010; see review by Dearnaley et al. 2012). In light of ongoing habitat destruction, especially in the biologically diverse tropics, additional studies are urgently needed to augment conservation of this unique plant family.

Tremblay et al. (1998) noted that certain epiphytic orchids are associated with a particular tree species, and Rasmussen (2002) proposed that this phenomenon may be linked to the tree serving as a suitable host for the orchid’s mycorrhizal fungi. Similarly, Gowland et al. (2011) hypothesized that some epiphytic orchids may be associated with a specific host tree species because they demonstrate a bias for certain types of mycorrhizal fungi associated with the host tree’s substrate, and that this bias develops early in the orchid’s life. Yoder et al. (2000) demonstrated that leafless epiphytic orchid seedlings (protocorms) have lower water loss rates compared to asymbiotically-grown seedlings, and proposed that mycotrophy serves as a critical water source for small seedlings of arboreal substrates vulnerable to desiccation. To more fully ascertain the role of mycorrhizal fungi on epiphytic orchid distribution and physiology, locating and recovering protocorms in situ is clearly desirable, but extremely difficult due to their minute size (ca. 1–10 mm). The use of a seed sowing and recovery technique devised by Rasmussen and Whigham (1993; see H. Rasmussen this volume) has contributed to our understanding of germination requirements of terrestrial orchids in soil (e.g., Rasmussen and Whigham 1998), but to our knowledge this technique has yet to be successfully applied to the epiphytes, possibly due to difficulties attributed to substrate accessibility (e.g., tree limbs).

In south Florida, most of North America’s native epiphytic orchids are restricted to the Big Cypress Basin eco-region in habitats (e.g., cypress domes, sloughs) sheltered from subfreezing temperatures (Brown 2005). Many of these epiphytes are rooted on limbs of smaller (ca. 10–15 m) understory trees that are accessible by foot and/or boat, making long-term in situ observations possible. In this study, we report our preliminary results...
aimed at acquiring protocorms of two North American *Epidendrum* species within the Florida Panther National Wildlife Refuge using Rasmussen and Whigham’s (1993) technique subsequently modified for arboreal substrates. The identity of the mycorrhizal fungi acquired from protocorms captured in this manner is also described using Random Amplification of Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study site**

Seeds were acquired from two epiphytic orchid species that grew naturally within the Florida Panther National Wildlife Refuge in Collier Co., FL; *Epidendrum amphistomum* A. Richard (Fig. 1), and *Epidendrum nocturnum* Jacquin. These donor plants were located in the same habitat where seed sowing was carried out. The habitat consisted of an oft-flooded cypress dome measuring ca. 300 m × 200 m, dominated by mature bald cypress, *Taxodium distichum* (L.) L. C. Rich. Epiphytic orchids were affixed primarily to understory trees, namely pop ash (*Fraxinus caroliniana* Mill.), pond apple (*Annona glabra* L.), and red maple (*Acer rubrum* L.). In addition to *E. amphistomum* and *E. nocturnum*, eight other epiphytic orchid taxa were present at the site: *Campylocentrum pachyrrhizum* (Reich. f.) Rolfe, *Dendrophylax lindenii* (Lindl.) Bentham ex Rolfe, *Epidendrum floridense* Håg sater, *E. rigidum* Jacquin, *Ionopsis utricularioides* (Swartz) Lindl., *Polystachya concreata* (Jacquin) Garay and Sweet, *Prosthechea boothiana* (Lindl.) Higgins var. *erythronioides* (Small) Higgins, *P. cochleata* (L.) Higgins var. *triandrum* (Ames) Higgins. Various growth stages of epiphytic orchids, including seedlings and juveniles, were commonplace on limbs of the understory trees, especially in association with mosses.

**Seed collection, sowing and retrieval**

To mimic natural conditions as much as possible, mature capsules in the act of dehiscing were obtained as a seed source. Capsules – one from *E. amphistomum* and two from *E. nocturnum* (designated EA, and EN1, EN2, respectively) were detached from inflorescences on 29 May 2009, placed separately in sealed plastic bags, and immediately taken to a laboratory located on site at the FPNWR. By gently tapping each capsule, ca. 500–1000 seeds were added to the surface of nylon sifting material (95 µm pre size, #65–2222M, Carolina Biological Supply Co., Burlington NC, USA) measuring 5 cm × 5 cm. A seed-containing packet was constructed by folding the square and inserting it into a 35 mm plastic Polaroid photographic slide mount (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, Mo, USA), following the procedure by Rasmussen and Whigham (1993). To firmly secure each square within the slide mount, the frame was stapled on all four sides. On one side of the frame, the seed source (e.g., EN1), date (30 May 2009), site location (1–18), substrate type (B = exposed bark, M = lichen, M = moss), and substrate tree (pa = pop ash, rM = red maple) were recorded using a permanent marking pen (Sharpie®, Sanford Corp., Oak Brook, IL, USA). A total of 20 packets were constructed for each of the three seed sources. Three packets (one from each seed source) were then affixed to tree limbs at 18 different site locations within the study area. Each location was separated by a minimum of 5 m, and on a different tree limb or tree within the study area. Locations were chosen based on their accessibility by foot or boat, and on the quantity of the substrate type available (exposed bark, moss, lichen). Substrate types were designated accordingly if > 90% of the surface was uniform (e.g., “moss” substrate type = 90% or more of the surface was covered by living moss). Lichen substrates consisted almost entirely of *Chiodecton* spp. Sites 1–4, 5–8, and 9–12 harbored bark, moss, and lichen substrates on pop ash (PA), respectively. Sites 13–14, 15–16, 17–18 consisted of bark, moss and lichen substrates on red maple (RM), respectively. A plastic gutter mesh square (20 cm × 20 cm) and a staple gun were used to affix the three packets as a cluster to each tree limb (Fig. 2). The side of each packet containing the information written by Sharpie® was oriented to face the substrate to reduce the likelihood that this information would fade upon exposure to sunlight. On 24 July 2009, selected packets were lifted from the gutter mesh and inspected on site for germination.

![Fig. 1 Epidendrum amphistomum in flower. Scale bar = 3 cm.](image-url)
This was facilitated visually using a hand lens, and did not require packets to be opened. Immediately after inspection, packets were returned to the gutter mesh/substrate interface.

To verify that seeds from the three sources (EA, EN1, EN2) were viable, a subset of each source was sown on asymbiotic media (Phytotechnology Laboratories, Shawnee Mission, KS, USA) on 9 June 2009, using standard protocols (e.g., Stewart and Kane 2006). Five replicate plates were prepared for each seed source, and plates were incubated at ambient temperature (ca. 20 °C) under artificial illumination (irradiance measured at 80 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹). Within 52 days, seed germination (= rupture of testa by enlarged embryo) was evident in vitro among all three sources, confirming their viability.

On 20–21 February 2010, all seed packets were detached from tree limbs at the 18 sites and immediately taken to the FPNWR laboratory where the contents were opened and photographed. Packets that contained protocorms were gently placed in aseptic plastic bags, sealed, and promptly (< 1 week) returned to Illinois College for molecular characterization.

Molecular characterization

Two strains of Rhizoctonia-like fungi (Ceratobasidiaceae, Tulasnellaceae) were utilized for comparative purposes involving molecular techniques, and were chosen because both are common, ubiquitous mycorrhizal associates of epiphytic and terrestrial orchids worldwide (Zettler et al. 2003). The strain assignable to the Ceratobasidiaceae (Pleu-263) originated from a root peloton of Platanthera leucophaea (Nutt.) Lindl. from a prairie remnant in Monroe Co., Michigan (Zettler et al. 2001), whereas the other strain (Tulasnellaceae, Pi-70) was acquired from a root peloton of P. integrilabia (Correll) Luer in Greenville Co., South Carolina (Zettler and McInnis 1992). The latter fungus was deposited into the University of Alberta (Canada) Microfungus Collection and Herbarium as UAMH 7632.

DNA was isolated from fungal strains, asymbiotic plants, and protocorms recovered in situ using the Omega EZNA Plant DNA kit protocol for fresh/frozen samples (Omega Biotek, Doraville, GA, USA). Random Amplification of Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis was performed on the DNA isolates using eight primers selected from the University of British Columbia (UBC) Primer Set #1 (Shan et al. 2002). The 20 µl amplification reactions contained 0.4 mM dNTPs, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.001% gelatin, 1.25 units of Sigma RedTaq DNA polymerase, 50 ng of the selected DNA sample and 1 pmol of each of the following UBC primers: 65, 73, 89, 31, 91, 66, 34, and 71. The amplification was performed in a Programmable Thermal Cycler (Labnet, Edison, NJ, USA) programmed for 45 cycles each consisting of 94 °C for 30 seconds, 38 °C for 30 seconds, and 72 °C for 60 seconds. Amplification products were visualized by electrophoresis on 2% agarose gels containing 0.1 mg/ml ethidium bromide. Amplification of the ITS region was carried out using primers ITS1-OF and ITS4-OF (Taylor and McCormick 2007).

Results and Discussion

Germination in situ

Seeds from all three sources that were inspected initially (55 days after sowing) displayed no signs of germination; however, embryos appeared larger in size and

![Fig. 2](image-url) A cluster of three seed packets affixed to a tree branch with gutter mesh. A staple gun was used to deliver staples permitting packets to remain in continuous close contact with the substrate. Scale bar = 5 cm.

![Fig. 3](image-url) Close up of seed packet beneath gutter mesh, 267 days after its attachment to a mossy substrate. Encroachment of leafy gametophytic tissue onto the packet and slide mount is clearly visible. Scale bar = 1 cm.
greener in color. In contrast, seeds sown in vitro to test viability germinated after 52 days indicating that development on asymbiotic media is more rapid in vitro than in situ. When the study was terminated after 267 days in situ, most of the packets that were placed on moss substrates were actively colonized by leafy mosses evident on the edges of the slide mount and packet (Fig. 3). Upon retrieval and subsequent inspection in the laboratory, only one of the 60 seed packets – acquired on a pop ash limb affixed to a moss substrate – harbored protocorms, and these were derived from *E. amphistomum* seed. A total of nine protocorms in various stages of development were recovered (Fig. 4 and 5). In the packets that lacked protocorms, only traces of seeds or seed residue were visible. Most of these packets also contained darkly pigmented fungal hyphae that appeared to have infiltrated the nylon mesh. Thus, it is conceivable that the seeds decomposed prior to packet retrieval.

To our knowledge, this is the first report documenting epiphytic orchid protocorms in situ using seed packets, and the first report that identifies the mycorrhizal fungi from this early growth stage. Although we acquired protocorms in this manner, this success rate appears to be low (1 of 60 packets, 1.7%) compared to seed packets sown in soil for terrestrial orchids. For example, Masuha and Katsuya (1994), recovered protocorms of *Spiranthes sinensis var. amoena* along 67 of 210 sampling points (32%) after eight weeks, using cotton gauze instead of nylon. In Australia, Batty et al. (2001) reported germination in 14.2% of packets sown in a mediterranean bushland habitat containing seeds of *Caladenia arenicola*. Of 18 packets containing seeds of *Platanthera holochila* buried on Molokai (Hawaii), one packet (5.6%) resulted in protocorms after a year in soil (L. W. Zettler, unpubl. data). This study, combined with these and others reported previously involving terrestrial orchids, supports the concept for spatial variability in orchid seedling recruitment within natural habitats (Batty et al. 2001; Rasmussen and Whigham 1998). As to why some orchid seeds germinate in packets placed in situ whereas others do not is probably attributed to a combination of intrinsic and extrinsic factors (e.g., seed dormancy, moisture availability; Baskin and Baskin 1998) that may vary depending on the species. For orchids – which additionally require mycorrhizal fungi that themselves have their own set of environmental needs – determining optimal seed germination conditions in situ poses a challenging task. Identifying the fungi associated with the germination process in situ represents a start.

**Mycorrhizal fungi**

RAPD amplification using the selected primers yielded distinct patterns for the cultured Ceratosidianceae strain, cultured *Epulorhiza*, and the asymbiotically-germinated *E. amphistomum* (Fig. 6). The pattern obtained from the *E. amphistomum* germinated in situ contains bands similar to both those observed in the asymbiotically-germinated *E. amphistomum* and those observed in the cultured Ceratosidianceae sample. This result suggests that the fungus present in *E. amphistomum* protocorms is assignable to Ceratosidianceae.

Based on the RAPD analysis, it appears that seeds of *Epidendrum amphistomum* utilized a fungus assignable to Ceratobasidium/Ceratorhiza to initiate germination and/or protocorm development within the Florida Panther NWR. ITS amplification of the isolated DNA was conducted to parallel with the RAPD analysis using the PCR conditions and ITS primers described in Taylor and McCormick (2007). Insufficient PCR product was produced for successful sequencing. Ideally, the isolation of fungi from orchid tissues combined with use of molecular techniques would have been desirable, but the small number of protocorms acquired, combined with their small size, limited our options. Nevertheless, the possible link be-

---

**Fig. 4** Three protocorms of *Epidendrum amphistomum* visible within an opened seed packet after 267 days incubation in situ. The dark region in the center of each protocorm depicts the developing shoot as viewed from above. Scale bar = 1 mm.

**Fig. 5** Leaf-bearing protocorm of *Epidendrum amphistomum* viewed in side view after removal from seed packet. The emerging leaf is clearly evident, on the left. Scale bar = 1 mm.
were tested for their potential to prompt germination, when some of these strains assignable to \textit{hyphal nuclear number}, they revealed mycorrhizal fungi in roots from soil, whereas (\textit{uaMh 9203}) from roots of Florida, Zettler et al. (1998) isolated an \textit{Epulorhiza} strain from roots of \textit{magnoliae} and used the fungus to cultivate seedlings in germination, whereas juvenile and mature orchids were rooted on either mosses or a combination of moss and bark, primarily on pop ash. The combination of mosses on a tree species with notoriously spongy bark (pop ash) may provide a moisture-rich substrate conducive to fungal growth and proliferation, including those that trigger orchid seed germination. Yoder et al. (2010) reported that seeds of epiphytic orchids from the FPNWR (\textit{Epulorhiza epiphytica}, \textit{Tulasnella}, \textit{Sebacina}) were smaller, more porous and had higher water loss rates compared to seeds of terrestrials. Taken together, the use of sterile, pre-moistened \textit{Sphagnum} (peat) moss inserted into seed packets at the time of their construction may provide seeds with an additional and critical source of moisture that could potentially enhance this technique. Additionally, slide mounts are increasingly difficult to obtain. Possible alternatives include affixing the mesh seed packets directly to the gutter mesh, use of steel mesh rather than nylon mesh, and exploring other plastic products that are functionally equivalent to slide mounts. Efforts are underway to broaden this study using seed packets within the FPNWR and other sites in south Florida.

In these future experiments, molecular identification of the mycorrhizal fungi will be carried out using ITS amplification and sequencing following isolation and culture of pelotons. The RAPD protocol was originally chosen for this pilot study as a quick, simple, and inexpensive method to obtain a molecular identification from an in-definite number of samples. In retrospect, the resources and samples used to carry out this procedure would have been more profitably applied to ITS amplification and sequencing to provide a more exact identification.

\textbf{Fig. 6} Agarose gel showing products of RAPD amplification. \textit{M} = 1kb Markers (New England Biolabs). Lanes 1, 2, and 3 show amplification products from cultured Tulasnellaceae strain, cultured Ceratosidaceae strain, and asymbiotically germinated \textit{Epulorhiza} (= \textit{Tulasnella}, \textit{Sebacina}), respectively. Lane 4 shows the amplification products obtained from the \textit{in situ} seed packet containing \textit{E. amphistomum}. 

between Ceratosidaceae with this orchid’s early growth stage provides a first-time glimpse into the \textit{in situ} seed germination needs of this epiphytic orchid.

Most of the mycorrhizal fungi that associate with epiphytic orchids worldwide (so far) have been assignable to the Ceratosidaceae, Tulasnellaceae and Sebacinales. Richardson et al. (1993), and Richardson and Currah (1995) reported that \textit{Euphorhiza} (= \textit{Tulasnella}, \textit{Sebacina}) was less prevalent in Costa Rican epiphytic orchids; however, this genus was extensively isolated from two epiphytic orchids in Brazil (Pereira et al. 2003). In Puerto Rico, Costa Rica and Cuba, Porras-Alfaro and Bayman (2007) examined roots of terrestrial/epiphytic \textit{Vanilla} spp. Using molecular sequencing (nrITS, mtLSU) and hyphal nuclear number, they revealed mycorrhizal fungi assignable to \textit{Ceratosidium}, \textit{Thanatephorus}, and \textit{Tulasnella}, and noted that \textit{Ceratosidium} was more common in roots from soil, whereas \textit{Tulasnella} was more common in roots from tree bark. When some of these strains were tested for their potential to prompt \textit{in vitro} seed germination, \textit{Ceratosidium} had a consistently positive effect on both germination and plant growth. In north Florida, Zettler et al. (1998) isolated an \textit{Epulorhiza} strain (UAMH 9203) from roots of \textit{Epidendrum conopseum} (= \textit{magnoliae}), and used the fungus to cultivate seedlings to the leaf-bearing stage with seeds from the same host plant. In Brazil, Pereira et al. (2005) consistently isolated \textit{Euphorhiza epiphytica} from roots of mature \textit{Epidendrum rigidum}, and two strains (M1, M6) of \textit{Euphorhiza} from \textit{E. rigidum} and \textit{Polystachya concreta}. Given that \textit{E. rigidum}, and \textit{P. concreta} occur naturally in both Brazil and south Florida, a unique opportunity awaits those that compare fungi acquired from these epiphytic orchid taxa spanning two hemispheres. Our use of the seed baiting technique may now make it possible for comparisons to be made involving protocorms as well as roots from mature plants.

\textbf{Suggestions for improvement}

In 2002, packets containing seeds of epiphytic orchids were suspended in slide mounts and affixed to tree bark in the Florida Panther NWR using thumb tacks, but this method failed to yield protocorms (S. L. Stewart, pers. comm.). In this study, slide mounts that were held firmly onto a moss substrate with gutter mesh (Fig. 2) resulted in germination in one packet, whereas those that were placed over lichens and exposed bark failed to yield protocorms. Using transects, Massey (2010) sampled 419 epiphytic orchids in FPNWR and reported that all naturally-occurring seedlings were associated with mosses, whereas juvenile and mature orchids were rooted on either mosses or a combination of moss and bark, primarily on pop ash. The combination of mosses on a tree species with notoriously spongy bark (pop ash) may provide a moisture-rich substrate conducive to fungal growth and proliferation, including those that trigger orchid seed germination. Yoder et al. (2010) reported that seeds of epiphytic orchids from the FPNWR (\textit{Epulorhiza nocturnum}, \textit{Encyclia tampensis}) were smaller, more porous and had higher water loss rates compared to seeds of terrestrials. Taken together, the use of sterile, pre-moistened \textit{Sphagnum} (peat) moss inserted into seed packets at the time of their construction may provide seeds with an additional and critical source of moisture that could potentially enhance this technique. Additionally, slide mounts are increasingly difficult to obtain. Possible alternatives include affixing the mesh seed packets directly to the gutter mesh, use of steel mesh rather than nylon mesh, and exploring other plastic products that are functionally equivalent to slide mounts. Efforts are underway to broaden this study using seed packets within the FPNWR and other sites in south Florida.
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