TREATMENT OF PIG FARM EFFLUENTS BY AERATION, STRUVITE PRECIPITATION AND FILTRATION

ONDŘEJ LHOTSKÝ^{1,2,*}, EVA SÝKOROVÁ³, TEREZA HUDCOVÁ¹, ALENA FILIPOVÁ⁴, and TOMÁŠ CAJTHAML^{2,4}

¹ Dekonta, Stehelčeves, Czech Republic

² Institute for Environmental Studies, Charles University in Prague, Czech Republic

³ Department Technology of Water, ICT Prague, Czech Republic

⁴ Institute of Microbiology, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic

* Corresponding author: lhotsky@dekonta.cz

ABSTRACT

These experiments were performed to verify the potential ways of treating pig farm effluents using aeration, struvite precipitation and filtration. MgCl₂ brine was added as a source of magnesium for struvite precipitation. Following aeration, precipitated struvite was separated from the effluent by filtration through a compressed straw filter. The filter plus struvite can be composted to yield struvite-enriched compost. A series of 5 batch pilot-scale experiments (effluent volumes from 200–400 l) was carried out and laboratory tests on the same effluent were performed to validate the pilot-scale results. Following 24 hours of aeration the pH increased to from 8.5 to 9, providing good conditions for struvite precipitation. Filtration proved to be efficient for separating precipitated struvite and total suspended solids. The efficiency of orthophosphate phosphorus removal in the pilot system varied from 63 to 96%, ammonia nitrogen removal varied from 22 to 79%, but magnesium removal efficiency was low due to the high natural Mg concentration, suggesting that the addition of Mg might not be necessary. Chemical oxidation demand declined significantly as a result of very high bacterial activity and the treated effluent no longer had an unpleasant smell.

Keywords: struvite, aeration, piggery effluent, filtration, nutrient recovery

Introduction

Precipitation of magnesium-ammonium phosphate (MgNH₄PO₄ · 6H₂O; MAP; struvite) from wastewater is a recently developed method for sequestering and recycling nutrients (EPA 2013). Struvite is a biogenic mineral that can be directly applied as a slow release fertilizer. Struvite precipitation occurs in water with high concentrations of magnesium (Mg), orthophosphate phosphorus (P_{ortho}) and ammonia nitrogen (N_{amon}) under alkaline conditions. According to the literature, the apparent pH value of struvite minimum solubility varies from 9 to 11 (Snoeyink and Jenkins 1980; Stumm and Morgan 1996). The basic chemical reaction of struvite precipitation according to Doyle and Parsons (2002) can be described by the following equation (1).

$$Mg^{2+} + NH_4^+ + PO_4^{3-} + 6H_2O \leftrightarrow MgNH_4PO_4 \cdot 6H_2O(1)$$

Struvite precipitation for wastewater treatment and phosphorus removal was studied intensively in the past. Information on a wide spectrum of factors influencing the process can be found in the literature e.g. (Snoeyink and Jenkins 1980; Stumm and Morgan 1996; Ohlinger et al. 1998). Munch and Barr (2001) state that the most important factors for struvite precipitation are the Mg:N_{amon}:P_{ortho} molar ratio and the pH of the solution. A number of pilot-scale wastewater treatment systems utilizing struvite precipitation to remove phos-

phorus were tested recently, e.g. removal from municipal wastewater (Ohlinger et al. 2000), industrial wastewater (Matynia et al. 2013), source-separated urine (Wilsenach et al. 2007), wastewater from agriculture (Liu et al. 2011), etc. Currently, a number of technologies for struvite precipitation from municipal and industrial wastewater are commercially available and several different methods based on struvite precipitation were designed and tested for small wastewater producers in agriculture. However, their use in practice is limited due to low cost effectiveness and lack of relevant legislation. Application to the land is still considered to be the most cost effective and common method for disposing of the majority of agricultural effluents, especially piggery effluents (Münch et al. 2001). But application of piggery effluents to the land results in eutrophication and other environmental problems. Although anaerobic digestion provides an effective solution for the treatment of the majority of agricultural effluents (Holm-Nielsen et al. 2009) it might not be feasible for small producers. A number of studies have recently focused on struvite precipitation from piggery effluents. Utilization of aerated reactors and the addition of a source of magnesium to the effluent proved to be efficient (Suzuki et al. 2005; Shepherd et al. 2009a). However some problems associated with the technology remain unresolved.

Separation of the precipitated struvite from piggery effluents is reported to be a major problem by Shepherd et al. (2009b). The high concentration of total suspend-

Lhotský, O., Sýkorová, E., Hudcová, T., Filipová, A., Cajthaml, T.: Treatment of pig farm effluents by aeration, struvite precipitation and filtration

European Journal of Environmental Sciences, Vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 73–82

https://doi.org/10.14712/23361964.2016.11

^{© 2016} Charles University. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creative.commons.org/licenses/by/4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ed solids and bacterial biomass in some piggery effluents prevents separation based on a difference in density (e.g. sedimentation or hydrocyclone). The high heterogeneity of the effluent over time causes significant problems for automating treatment systems. For this reason, we performed a series of 5 batch pilot-scale experiments, which were duplicated with the same wastewater in the laboratory. Piggery effluent collected from a concrete storage tank placed under pig stables in central Bohemia was used. The numbers and age of the pigs varied during the study. The pilot-scale reactor used for the experiments was constructed according to the experience gathered during laboratory experiments performed prior to the pilot testing. Aeration of the effluent (CO₂ stripping) was used for effluent alkalization and MgCl₂ brine (used for dust-control on roads) as a source of magnesium. Filtration through compressed straw was used to separate the suspended solids and struvite from the effluent. This material can be composted. The high temperatures reached during the thermophilic phase of composting provide effective sanitation.

Materials and Methods

Pilot Scale Treatment System (Layout in Fig. 1)

This system consisted of tank A (1 m³ plastic IBC container) for fresh effluent, which was pumped into the tank from the underground bunker. Effluent was pumped from tank A into the aerated reactor B (1 m³ metal IBC container with 3 aeration segments (FORTEX AME-350) connected to aeration pump Secoh EL-S-200) placed on a 2.4 m high frame so that the treated effluent could be drained gravitationally into the filtration vessel C (1 m³ plastic IBC container filled with compressed straw). The treated effluent was collected in tank D after filtration.



Fig. 1 Pilot system layout.

Pilot Testing

The tests were performed during the summer of 2013. The effluent was pumped from the concrete storage tank into tank A by a sludge pump equipped with a protective filter basket wrapped in plastic filter fabric with a mesh size of 1 mm. From tank A, samples of piggery effluent were taken for laboratory tests and for determination of the inlet concentrations of the chemical parameters (In). Immediately after filling tank A, test determination of the Portho concentration (hereinafter P_{ortho} equals $c(H_nPO_4^{n-3})$; n = 0; 1; 2; 3) was performed on site using colorimetric kits (Aquaterm, s.r.o.). Dosage of MgCl₂ brine (33% w/w) was calculated according to the test determination of the Portho concentration (see Table 1, the same molar ratio of Mg:N_{amon}:P_{ortho} was tested in the laboratory the same day). Brine was added to aerated reactor *B* after filling it with the effluent. The volumes of effluent varied in the tests. Reactor B was aerated (310 l/min.) for 24 hours. Throughout aeration, the effluent physicochemical parameters (pH, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), electrolytic conductivity and dissolved O₂ concentration) were monitored using a Hanna HI9628 multi-meter. Samples of the treated effluent were taken from reactor B (R out NF) after switching off the aeration. The effluent was then drained into filtration vessel C and samples of the output treated effluent (R out F) were taken. Identical tests on a small scale were repeated in the laboratory, but the filtration step was excluded.

Laboratory Tests

Tests were performed on a coagulation bench in 11 vessels. The vessels filled with 800 ml of the effluent were aerated continuously (2.4 l/min.) for 24 hours. Samples were taken for analysis after switching off the aeration (L out). There were three replicates of all the laboratory tests.

Testing for Microbial Activity during Aeration

This test was performed to determine why there was a decrease in the O_2 concentration during aeration of the effluent and assess microbial activity during aeration. The test was carried out in the laboratory on a coagulation bench using 2.51 vessels containing 21 of the effluent in 3 replicates during the winter of 2014. The effluent was aerated continuously (2.4 l/min.) and no Mg source added. 60 ml samples of each effluent replicate were collected during aeration. 10 ml were immediately frozen and analyzed later for chemical oxygen demand (COD) and biochemical oxygen demand after 5 days (BOD₅). 50 ml were centrifuged and the separated solid was then frozen and analyzed later for phospholipid fatty acids - PLFA. The separated solid samples for PLFA were extracted and analyzed using the method described by Snajdr et al. (2011). Samples were taken after 1, 2, 6, 9, 14, 16, 18, 20 and 24 hours of aeration. Input and output effluent samples were analyzed routinely. The test results are marked as M in the figures and tables.

Effluent Analysis

Before the analysis of the chemical parameters monitored, except for COD, BOD₅ and total suspended sol-

| Test No. | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|------|
| Effluent volume | (I) | 200 | 275 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| P _{ortho} tentative | (mg/l) | 500 | 120 | 150 | 300 | 150 |
| Volume of MgCl ₂ brine | (ml/l effluent) | 1.0 | 0.73 | 0.625 | 0.625 ^b | х |
| Calculated ratio Mg:Pa | | 1.38 (0.78) | 4.41 (2.89) | 4.32 (3.08) | 1.95 (1.55) | 2.38 |

Table 1 The input information for the pilot tests.

^a The ratios were calculated using data obtained from laboratory determinations of the input samples with the source of the Mg being from added MgCl₂ brine. Numbers in brackets are original Mg:P ratios before the addition of the brine.

^b In case of test 4 in the laboratory two different experiments were performed, one with 0.625 ml of brine added per l of effluent and one without the addition of brine.

x Not analyzed.

ids (TSS), effluent samples were centrifuged to separate the macroscopic TSS and increase the repeatability of the laboratory analysis. In and R out samples were centrifuged at 4000 rpm at 20 °C for 6 min. L out samples were centrifuged at 5000 rpm at 20 °C for 12 min. The L out samples were centrifuged to separate all the struvite crystals. Orthophosphates (Portho) were determined using the ammonium molybdate spectrometric method (ISO 2004). The ammonium molybdate solution is characterized by a low pH, which facilitates complete dissolution of residual microscopic struvite crystals. Ammonia nitrogen ($N_{amon} = c(N\hat{H}_{3+n}^{+n})$; n= 0, 1) was determined using Nessler's reagent spectrophotometry (Greenberg et al. 1992); the concentrations of magnesium (Mg) and calcium (Ca) were determined using emission spectrometry with inductively coupled plasma (ISO 2009). The concentration of chlorides (Cl-) and COD values were determined using titration methods (ISO 1989; ISO 2002) and TSS was determined gravimetrically (Kenkel 2013). The ISO method was used to determine BOD₅ (ISO 2003).

Analysis of Separated Solids

Separated solids obtained by centrifugation (5000 rpm, 20 °C, 12 min) were dried at laboratory temperature. Separated solids of the samples taken directly from the reactor (R out NF) and the separated solids obtained from the laboratory tests were analyzed. The qualitative composition of the crystalline phase of the separated solids was assessed using X-ray powder diffraction (XRD). Measurements were made at 25 °C with a Co anode with a step size of 0.02 [°2Theta]. The obtained diffraction patterns were compared with the results in the literature. The same method has been used by a number of authors e.g. (Ohlinger et al. 1998; Le Corre et al. 2005).

The amount of struvite in the separated solids was estimated by dissolution tests. 100 mg of the separated solids were stirred in 250 ml of mixed (900 rpm) HCl solution (pH 4.5) for 2 hours. All the struvite dissolved under these conditions, which was earlier experimentally verified with chemically pure struvite. The ionic composition of the final solution was then determined. The concentration of struvite components in the test solution was compared to the theoretical concentration assuming all the separated solids were pure struvite and the fraction of struvite in the separated solids estimated.

Results and Discussion

Quality of Input Effluent

Information on the tests is summarized in Table 1. The concentrations of the parameters monitored in the input effluent and its pH and electrolytic conductivity determined in the laboratory are listed in Table 2. High heterogeneity of the concentrations of the struvite components in the effluents tested can be clearly seen. The P_{ortho} concentration varied from 211 to 723 mg/l. The N_{amon} concentration varied from 1,698 to 2,325 mg/l. N_{amon} molar concentrations were significantly higher than the concentrations of the other struvite components; therefore, only the Mg:Portho molar ratio is shown in Table 1. Mg concentrations were high and varied from 143 to 262 mg/l, Ca varied from 77 to 178 mg/l and COD from 2,688 to 11,711 mg/l; high COD correlated with high TSS. Shepherd et al. (2009a) report similar P_{ortho} concentrations in two piggery effluents. Liu et al. (2011) record the Portho concentrations in the piggery effluent they used in their tests varied from 76 to 1,862 mg/l and N_{amon} varied from 1,562 to 5,336 mg/l. Huang et al. (2011) used effluent with average concentrations of P_{ortho} of 555 mg/l, N_{amon} of 1,266 mg/l, Ca of 135 mg/l and Mg of 6.7 mg/l. The electrolytic conductivity varied from 12,220 to 15,750 mS/m and the pH was between 7.5 and 7.9. A surprisingly a high Cl- concentration (709 mg/l) was recorded in the effluent treated in test 1 together with the highest Portho concentration, although the concentrations of the other struvite components and COD and TSS were lower than in the other tests. We assume that the effluent was somehow altered compared to the other samples. This may be due to the heavy rain in the region before the tests, which might have washed out some fertilizers and other chemicals stored close the effluent storage tank.

| | P _{ortho} | N _{amon} | Mg | CI⁻ | COD | Ca | TSS | Elec. Con- duct. | рН |
|----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----|-----|-------|-----|------|---------------------|------|
| test No. | (mg/l) | | | | | | | | - |
| 1 | 723 | 1698 | 143 | 709 | 2688 | 124 | 1524 | 12220 | 7.46 |
| 2 | 211 | 2204 | 154 | х | 6481 | 151 | 3125 | 15140 | 7.71 |
| 3 | 221 | 2325 | 172 | 92 | 6196 | 178 | x | 15520 | 7.87 |
| 4 | 670 | 2298 | 262 | 43 | 10304 | 77 | 4420 | 13500 | 7.66 |
| 5 | 367 | 2193 | 221 | х | 11711 | 80 | 4983 | 15 750 | 7.78 |
| м | 21 | 2201 | 183 | х | 4160 | 94 | 1200 | x | 8.02 |

Table 2 Determination of the input parameters of the effluent – In samples.

Tentative P_{ortho} determination enabled relatively accurate, although in all cases underestimated, values of the P_{ortho} concentration. The heterogeneity of the Mg concentrations leads to difficulties in estimating the ideal amounts of MgCl₂ brine.

Physicochemical Parameters

The dissolved oxygen concentration and pH measured throughout the tests (in the laboratory and pilot reactor) are shown in Fig. 2. The data were not recorded in test 5 due to failure of the multi-meter. In the pilot reactor, the pH increased gradually throughout the test, reaching values higher than 8.5. In the laboratory, the pH increased rapidly after the start of aeration and then remained more or less constant, probably due to the higher aeration rates. The pH value of approximately 8.5 is similar to the results published by Korchef et al. (2011) and are high enough for effective struvite precipitation.

The O_2 concentration shows similar trends in the reactor and laboratory; it gradually increased in the first hours of aeration and usually reached full saturation at the prevailing temperatures after a few hours. However, subsequently the O_2 concentration decreased sharply and oxygen was often completely depleted. After about 14 hours of aeration, the O_2 concentration began to increase again and usually reached full saturation at the end of the tests. This phenomenon can be explained



Fig. 2 Dissolved oxygen concentration and pH measured throughout the test in the laboratory (average values shown) and reactor (in case of test 3 pH data in the reactor were not recorded due to failure of the multi-meter).

European Journal of Environmental Sciences, Vol. 6, No. 2

by the activity of the microorganisms and is discussed below.

Further data (temperature, electrolytic conductivity and ORP) measured throughout the tests in the pilot reactor are shown in Fig. 3.

The temperature varied throughout the tests as the reactor was placed outdoors and the aeration provides efficient heat exchange. Maximum temperature reached about 30 $^{\circ}$ C and the minimum temperature was approximately 15 $^{\circ}$ C.

The electrolytic conductivity increased after addition of the $MgCl_2$ brine at the beginning of the tests. It dropped significantly after approximately one hour of aeration, then increased slightly and then gradually and continually decreased. The differences in the input and output effluent electrolytic conductivities varied from



Fig. 3 Temperature, electrolytic conductivity and oxidation-reduction potential measured throughout the test in the pilot reactor and oxidation-reduction potential of the samples measured during test M.

2,500 to 4,000 mS/m. The decrease in the conductivity is caused mainly by the precipitation of various minerals including struvite.

ORP increased swiftly after the beginning of aeration. In tests 3 and 5, ORP reached values of approx. -15 mV and remained constant for the rest of the test. In tests 1 and 4, it continued to gradually increase throughout aeration and reached values of approx. -34 mV (test 4) or -100 mV (test 1). A decrease in ORP was recorded in test 2 following a rapid increase. Later ORP increased again, but the values at the end of test 2 were still approx. -300 mV.

Chemical Parameters

The chemical parameters of the output effluents from the different tests and the calculated decrease in the parameters compared to the input effluent are summarized in Table 3. The efficiencies of removal of the struvite components in the tests are shown in Fig. 4. Higher rates of aeration in the laboratory compared to the pilot reactor, together with more effective TSS (and struvite) separation via intensive centrifugation, could be one of the reasons for slightly better removal efficiencies recorded in the laboratory. The compressed straw filter was efficient in capturing the TSS including struvite, which is clearly confirmed by the TSS concentrations before and after filtration, as well as the differences in the Porto removal efficiencies. This efficiency for the reactor-treated effluent after filtration varied from 63% (test 2) to 96% (test 1) and surprisingly was higher when the Mg:P ratio was lower. The N_{amon} removal efficiency varied from 22% (test 5) to 79% (test 4). It seems that stripping of NH_3 by aeration and possibly also the nitrification processes played a substantial role in N_{amon} sequestering. The concentrations of N_{amon} dropped significantly more than would occur if N_{a-} mon was only precipitated in the form of struvite. NH₃ is in equilibrium with the air according to Henry's Law and facilitates air stripping of N_{amon}. NH₃ is the predominant N_{amon} form in aqueous solution with a high pH and temperature (Negulescu 1985). The output Mg concentrations were higher than the input ones in tests 2 and 3. Even in test 5 without the addition of a source of Mg, the removal efficiency did not exceed 27%, which indicates that part of the Portho was sequestered in a different way than by struvite precipitation. It can be assumed that part of the Portho was sequestered through precipitation of other minerals and part through incorporation into the biomass. The Ca concentrations of the output filtered effluents are summarized in Table 4. The removal efficiencies of Ca varied from 25% (test 5) to 79% (test 3). Part of the Ca was precipitated in the form of magnesium calcium carbonate (CCM; (6% Mg, 94% CaCO₃) or hydrated calcium carbonate (CaCO₃ \cdot nH2O). It can be assumed that one of the forms in which Ca and P_{ortho} precipitate was hydroxylapatite $(Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(OH)_2)$ as Ca^{2+} ions interact effectively with Portho to form calcium phosphates in wastewater systems, commonly as poorly crystallized hydroxylapatite Table 3 Chemical parameters monitored in the output effluents from the different tests and calculated removal (or increase marked by minus) of the chemicals compared to those in the input effluent.

| Test No. | | Portho | Namon | Mg | CI⁻ | COD | TSS |
|----------|--------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|-------|------------|-------|
| lest No. | | | | (m | g/l) | | |
| | R out NF | 252 | 631 | 163 | 1250 | 1870 | 1172 |
| | Removal | 471 | 1067 | -20 | -541 | 818 | 352 |
| 4 | R out F | 32 | 931 | 114 | 1280 | 1720 | 779 |
| 1 | Removal | 691 | 767 | 29 | -571 | 968 | 745 |
| | L out ^a | 4.7 ± 0.2 | 887 ± 69 | 103 ± 4 | x | 1458 ± 5 | х |
| | Removal | 719 | 861 | 40 | x | 1230 | х |
| | R out NF | 154 | 1170 | 284 | 981 | x | 2540 |
| | Removal | 57 | 1034 | -130 | x | x | 585 |
| | R out F | 78 | 1160 | 216 | 1070 | 3260 | 1150 |
| 2 | Removal | 133 | 1044 | -62 | x | 3221 | 1975 |
| | Louta | 6.5 ± 0.5 | 1009 ± 64 | 210 ± 2.6 | x | 2551 ± 19 | х |
| | Removal | 205 | 1241 | -56 | x | 3930 | х |
| | R out NF | 98 | 1120 | 295 | 1160 | 4324 | х |
| | Removal | 123 | 1205 | -123 | -1068 | 1872 | х |
| - | R out F | 79 | 1120 | 222 | 1110 | 3876 | х |
| 3 | Removal | 142 | 1205 | -50 | -1018 | 2320 | х |
| | Louta | 6 ± 0.2 | 869 ± 85 | 212 ± 5.7 | x | 2402 ± 63 | х |
| | Removal | 214 | 1414 | -40 | x | 3794 | х |
| | R out NF | 173 | 359 | 225 | 445 | 4967 | 4 210 |
| | Removal | 497 | 1939 | 37 | -402 | 5337 | 210 |
| | R out F | 106 | 479 | 192 | 454 | 3654 | 3020 |
| | Removal | 564 | 1819 | 70 | -411 | 6650 | 1400 |
| 4 | Louta | 9 ± 1.1 | 1115 ± 155 | 193 ± 11 | x | 3013 ± 246 | х |
| | Removal | 660 | 1185 | 69 | x | 7292 | х |
| | L out no Mg ^a | 10 ± 0 | 941 ± 125 | 149 ± 11.5 | x | 2762 ± 65 | х |
| | Removal | 660 | 1359 | 113 | x | 7542 | х |
| | R out NF | 286 | 1980 | 164 | x | 9598 | 4 231 |
| | Removal | 81 | 214 | 12 | x | 2113 | 752 |
| _ | R out F | 64 | 1721 | 161 | x | 4921 | 2345 |
| 5 | Removal | 303 | 472 | 12 | x | 6790 | 2638 |
| | Louta | 7.1 ± 0.1 | 1342 ± 125 | 156 ± 6 | x | 3656 ± 469 | х |
| | Removal | 360 | 854 | 20 | х | 8055 | х |
| | Mouta | 1.2 ± 1.6 | 1080 ± 159 | 197±11 | х | 3103 ± 138 | х |
| М | Removal | 19.8 | 1121 | - 14 | x | 1057 | x |

 $^{\rm a}$ In the laboratory experiments standard deviations of the three parallel tests performed are shown after a \pm sign.

Table 4 Ca concentration in the output effluent from the filtered pilot reactor (R out F) recorded in the different tests and the amount removed compared to that in the input effluent.

| Test No.: | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|------------------|--------|----|-----|-----|----|----|
| Ca concentration | (mg/l) | 52 | 43 | 38 | 38 | 60 |
| Ca removal | (mg/l) | 72 | 108 | 140 | 39 | 20 |

Table 5 Calculated COD and TSS removal (reactor output compared to input).

| Test No.: | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-------------|-----|----|----|----|----|----|
| COD removal | (%) | 36 | 50 | 37 | 65 | 58 |
| TSS removal | (%) | 49 | 63 | х | 32 | 53 |

European Journal of Environmental Sciences, Vol. 6, No. 2



Fig. 4 Removal efficiency of the struvite components in the tests (when negative, an increase in the parameter was recorded) in the pilot reactor before and after filtration and in the laboratory (in the case of test 4 in the laboratory an additional test (*L out no Mg*) without brine was performed; Mg:P ratio in this case was 1.53).

(Le Corre et al. 2005). The removal efficiencies of COD and TSS varied from about 30 to 65% for both parameters (see Table 5). The average removal efficiencies throughout the 8 months operation of the demonstration reactor reported by Suzuki et al. (2005) are 50% for P_{ortho} , 37.5% or Mg, 20% for Ca and 77% for TSS.

Analysis of the Separated Solids

The results of XRD and estimation of the amount of struvite in the separated solids are summarized in Table 6. It is obvious that struvite was present in all the separated solids obtained in the tests, although its concentration varied substantially. In pilot test 1, the input effluent had a high P_{ortho} concentration and low concentrations of

COD and TSS. In this test, struvite forms about 95% of the crystalline phase and its content in the separated solids was estimated at 68%. In the other pilot tests, struvite forms from 65% (test 5) to 45% (test 3) of the crystalline phase of the separated solids. Magnesium calcium carbonate was the second most abundant mineral in the crystalline phase and it forms from 35 to 45% of the crystalline phase in tests 2 to 4. In test 1, it forms only 5% of the crystals and it was not detected at all in test 5. Hydrated calcium carbonate was present in crystalline form, although it is probable that part of it also precipitated in an amorphous form. Graphite was present in quite a high concentration in test 5. Quartz crystals were also detected, probably due to their flushing into the effluent in the

Table 6 XRD results – identified minerals and semi-quantitative estimates of their share of the crystalline phase and estimates of the percentage of the struvite in the precipitate based on the dissolution tests.

| Mineral | | Struvite | CCMa | Hydrated calcium carbonateb | Quartz | Graphite | Calculated average percentage weight of struvite in the precipitate |
|-------------|-----------------------|----------|------|--------------------------------|---|----------|---|
| Test No. | | | (v | v % of crystalline phas | (w % of precipitate ± standard deviation) ±standard deviation) | | |
| - | R out NF | 95 | 5 | - | - | - | 68 ± 15 |
| | L out ^d | 94 | 6 | - | - | - | _ |
| _ | R out NF | 60 | 35 | 4 | 1 | - | 19 ± 20 |
| 2 | L out ^d | 44 | 49 | 6 | 1 | - | _ |
| 3 | R out NF ^d | 45 | 45 | 7 | - | 3 | 22 ± 4 |
| | R out NF | 56 | 38 | 5 | - | 1 | 24 ± 6 |
| 4 | Lout | 59 | 36 | 4 | - | 1 | _ |
| - | L out no Mg | 58 | 37 | 4 | 1 | - | - |
| - | R out NF | 65 | - | 8 | 5 | 22 | 19±3 |
| 5 | Lout | 67 | _ | 9 | 6 | 18 | _ |

^a CCM – magnesium calcium carbonate – (6% Mg, 94% Ca)(CO₃)

^b Hydrated calcium carbonate – CaCO₃ · nH₂O

^c Three different estimates were obtained using dissolution tests based on three different chemical parameters (P_{ortho}, N_{amon}, Mg), standard deviations of the results are shown after ± sign

^d Samples containing this phase, which was not identified.

form of small sand particles. The estimated fraction of struvite in the total separated solids was approximately the same for tests 2 to 5 and varied around 20%.

Microbial Activity during Aeration

The test was performed with effluent collected during the winter of 2014. The effluent quality was significantly different from that collected during the summer of 2013, as there were fewer pigs stabled and the effluent was not as frequently spread on the fields. The main difference was in the concentration of P_{ortho} , which was significantly lower than in the effluents collected during summer. The concentrations of the parameters monitored in the input effluent are listed in Table 2 (marked as M).

The pH and the O_2 concentration is shown together with the COD, BOD₅ and PLFA results in Fig. 5. The pH gradually increased during aeration from 8.02 at the beginning to 9.10 at the end. The O_2 concentration followed a similar dependence as in the tests performed earlier, both in the laboratory and the pilot reactor, although O_2 depletion occurred somewhat later, around the 18th hour. The ORP values of the samples taken throughout the tests are shown in Fig. 3. It was highly negative around -450 mV at the beginning and increased only to approx. -400 mV at the end of the test.

The COD and BOD_5 curves are similar. There was a slight decrease in both curves after one hour of aeration. Later both parameters decreased very slowly. After the 14th to 16th hour of aeration, the slopes of the curves changed and both parameters decreased more rapidly.

The PLFA results clearly show that the input effluent is rich in total microbial biomass, consisting mainly of bacteria. After the beginning of aeration, the concentration of specific PLFA representing total microbial biomass and bacterial biomass decreased and remained low until the 14th hour of aeration. From that moment on, the concentration of total microbial biomass and bacteria began to increase and continued to increase until the end of the test, although the slope of the curve was not as sharp after the 20th hour of aeration. A very similar curve was recorded for G-bacteria, although in this case the concentrations after the 2nd hour of aeration were very low. The concentration increased after the 9th hour, but a sharp break in the curve appeared after the 14th hour. It is interesting that the concentrations of G+ bacteria remained more or less stagnant throughout whole test. Anaerobic bacteria were present in the input effluent but the aeration caused a decrease in their concentration. After the 14th hour of aeration and a decrease in the O₂ concentration of the effluent, anaerobic bacteria started to grow once again, reaching a maximum after the 16th hour of aeration. The concentration of anaerobic bacteria decreased from that moment on.

It can be concluded that the increase in O_2 concentration at the beginning of aeration negatively affected



Fig. 5 Graphs of COD and BOD5 concentration in aerated effluent (A) and concentration of PLFA specific for bacteria and total microbial biomass (B); anaerobic bacteria (C); G+ and G- bacteria (D) in the solids separated by centrifugation, compared to the O_2 concentration and pH of the effluent.

European Journal of Environmental Sciences, Vol. 6, No. 2

the microbial biomass adapted to low O₂ concentrations, causing a lag phase after the 2nd hour of aeration. After adaptation of the microbial community to higher oxygen concentrations, the microbial biomass began to grow exponentially. After a certain time microorganisms began to use greater quantities of O₂ than was dissolved in the effluent due to aeration. From that moment on, the O₂ concentration in the effluent decreased, reaching complete oxygen depletion after about 18 hours. All the dissolved O2 was immediately used by the aerobic microorganisms, giving the anaerobic bacteria an opportunity to grow in the effluent. It seems that after several hours the microbes decomposed the majority of the readily degradable organic compounds and started to utilize more complex compounds in the effluent, resulting in a lower O_2 consumption. Then the O_2 concentration once again increased gradually and the growth in the microbial biomass slowed down slightly. This theory is supported by the significant decrease in COD and BOD₅ values during the low O₂ concentration phase. We think that an analogous phenomenon was responsible for the decrease in the O_2 concentration during tests 1 to 5.

A similar phenomenon is typical for thermophilic aerobic biological wastewater treatment (Lapara and Alleman 1999). Gehm (1956) report that these processes are characterized by high biodegradation rates, low dissolved oxygen concentrations in the aeration basin resulting from these high biodegradation rates and potential complications associated with poor bacterial settling. Beaudet et al. (1990) studied liquid pig manure treatment at 55 °C and report a COD reduction of approx. 80% after 70 hours of aeration and total elimination of N_{amon} by stripping. They report that thermophilic treatment facilitates the rapid stabilization of the effluent and elimination of the unpleasant smell. Although the temperatures reached in our tests did not exceed 30 °C, we assume that the processes in the effluent were similar. Abeynayaka and Visvanathan (2011) report that the half-velocity constant (Ks; substrate concentration (COD) at one-half of the maximum growth rate [mass/unit volume]) in aerated wastewater increases with temperature but the Ks value recorded in their experiments at 30 °C was 30 times higher than the value recorded for domestic wastewater by Metcalf and Eddy (2003). Nevertheless, there were substantial changes in the output effluent as it did not have an unpleasant smell and its colour had changed from black/dark brown to light brown.

Conclusions

The experiments demonstrated that struvite can be precipitated from piggery effluent by aeration and $MgCl_2$ brine does not need to be added in the majority of cases. The precipitated struvite and other suspended solids were effectively separated from the treated effluent by filtration through compressed straw. The efficiency of

orthophosphate removal in the pilot system varied from 63 to 96%. Ammonia nitrogen removal was surprisingly high and varied from 22 to 79%, mainly due to ammonia stripping into the air facilitated by the high temperature and the increased pH of the effluent. The original input effluent Mg:P molar ratio varied from 1.55 to 3.08 (except for the effluent in test 1). Surprisingly, a higher molar ratio corresponded to a lower efficiency of the orthophosphate removal. The COD concentration declined significantly (40-65%), probably due to a high biodegradation rate, as did the TSS concentration (32–63%), the colour of the treated effluent changed from black/dark brown to light brown and no longer had an unpleasant smell. Long residence times in the pilot reactor (24 hours) led to a significant increase in the pH and sufficient supply of oxygen for degradation of organic compounds by aerobic bacteria.

Additional testing demonstrated that several hours of aeration caused a significant increase in G– bacteria and total microbial biomass, although the O_2 concentration decreased and the concentration of G+ bacteria remained constant.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Technology Agency of the Czech Republic under grant No. TA01020573; and Czech Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports under and research project grant No. MSM6046137308.

REFERENCES

- Abeynayaka A, Visvanathan C (2011) Mesophilic and thermophilic aerobic batch biodegradation, utilization of carbon and nitrogen sources in high-strength wastewater. Bioresour Technol 102: 2358–66.
- Beaudet R, Gagnon C, Bisaillon JG, Ishaque M (1990) Microbiological aspects of aerobic thermophilic treatment of swine waste. Appl Environ Microbiol 56: 971–6.
- Doyle JD, Parsons SA (2002) Struvite formation, control and recovery. Water Res 36: 3925–40.
- EPA (2013) Emerging technologies for wastewater treatment and in-plant wet weather management. Office of wastewater management, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington D.C.
- Gehm HW (1956) Activated sludge at high temperatures and pH values. In: McCabe J, Eckenfelder WW (eds) Biological treatment of sewage and industrial wastes. Reinhold Publishing, New York, USA.
- Greenberg AE, Clesceri LS, Eaton AD (eds) (1992) Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. American Public Health Association, Washington D.C., USA.
- Holm-Nielsen JB, Al Seadi T, Oleskowicz-Popiel P (2009) The future of anaerobic digestion and biogas utilization. Bioresour Technol 100: 5478–84.
- Huang H, Xu C, Zhang W (2011) Removal of nutrients from piggery wastewater using struvite precipitation and pyrogenation technology. Bioresour Technol 102: 2523–2528.

- ISO (1989) ISO 9297: 1989 Water quality Determination of chloride – Silver nitrate titration with chromate indicator (Mohr's method). International Organization for Standardization.
- ISO (2002) ISO 2002: 15705 Water quality Determination of the chemical oxygen demand index (ST-COD) – Small-scale sealed-tube method. International Organization for Standardization.
- ISO (2003) ISO 2003: 5815–1; 2 Water quality Determination of biochemical oxygen demand after n days (BODn) – Part 1: Dilution and seeding method with allylthiourea addition, Part 2: Method for undiluted samples. International Organization for Standardization.
- ISO (2004) ISO 2004: 6878 Water quality Determination of phosphorus – Ammonium molybdate spectrometric method. International Organization for Standardization.
- ISO (2009) ISO 2009: 11885 Water quality Determination of selected elements by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP–OES). International Organization for Standardization.
- Kenkel J (2013) Analytical Chemistry for Technicians. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton.
- Korchef A, Saidou H, Ben Amor M (2011) Phosphate recovery through struvite precipitation by CO₂ removal: effect of magnesium, phosphate and ammonium concentrations. J Hazard Mater 186: 602–613.
- Lapara TM, Alleman JE (1999) Thermophilic aerobic biological wastewater treatment. Water Res 33: 895–909.
- Le Corre KS, Valsami-Jones E, Hobbs P, Parsons SA (2005) Impact of calcium on struvite crystal size, shape and purity. J Cryst Growth 283: 514–522.
- Liu Y, Kwag J, Kim J, Ra C (2011) Recovery of nitrogen and phosphorus by struvite crystallization from swine wastewater. Desalination 277: 364–369.
- Matynia A, Wierzbowska B, Hutnik N, Mazienczuk A, Kozik A, Piotrowski K (2013) Separation of Struvite from Mineral Fertilizer Industry Wastewater. Procedia Environ Sci 18: 766–775.
- Metcalf E, Eddy H (2003) Wastewater Engineering Treatment and Reuse. McGraw-Hill, New York.

- Münch EV, Barr K (2001) Controlled struvite crystallisation for removing phosphorus from anaerobic digester sidestreams. Water Res 35: 151–159.
- Münch EV, Benesovsky-Scott A, Josey J, Barr K (2001) Making business from struvite crystallisation for wastewater treatment: turning waste into gold. 2nd international conference on recovery of phosphates from sewage and animal wastes. Noordwijkerhout, Holland.
- Negulescu M (1985) Municipal Waste Water Treatment. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Ohlinger KN, Young TM, Schroeder ED (1998) Predicting Struvite Formation in Digestion. Water Res 32: 3607–3614.
- Ohlinger KN, Young TM, Schroeder ED (2000) Postdigestion Struvite Precipitation Using a Fluidized Bed Reactor. J Environ Eng 126: 361–368.
- Shepherd TA, Burns RT, Moody LB, Raman DR, Stalder KJ (2009a) Development of a Bench-Scale Air Sparged Continuous Flow Reactor for Struvite Precipitation from Two Different Liquid Swine Manure Storage Systems. Appl Eng Agric 25: 425–430.
- Shepherd TA, Burns RT, Raman DR, Moody LB, Stalder KJ (2009b) Performance of a Pilot–Scale Air Sparged Continuous Flow Reactor and Hydrocyclone for Struvite Precipitation and Removal from Liquid Swine Manure. Appl Eng Agric 25: 257–267.
- Snajdr J, Cajthaml T, Valaskova V, Merhautova V, Petrankova M, Spetz P, Leppanen K, Baldrian P (2011) Transformation of Quercus petraea litter: successive changes in litter chemistry are reflected in differential enzyme activity and changes in the microbial community composition. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 75: 291–303.

Snoeyink VL, Jenkins D (1980) Water chemistry. Wiley, New York.

- Stumm W, Morgan JJ (1996) Aquatic chemistry: chemical equilib-
- ria and rates in natural waters. Wiley, New York. Suzuki K, Tanaka Y, Kuroda K, Hanajima D, Fukumoto Y (2005) Recovery of phosphorous from swine wastewater through crystallization. Bioresour Technol 96: 1544–1550.
- Wilsenach JA, Schuurbiers CA, van Loosdrecht MC (2007) Phosphate and potassium recovery from source separated urine through struvite precipitation. Water Res 41: 458–466.